REMARKS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the Office Action of March 24, 2009.

The claims all stand rejected over various combinations of prior art. Applicant respectfully

traverses the rejections.

In the Office Action, the Examiner makes the statement:

> "said RFID reader being adapted to communicate information over
> a wireless network (Fig. 1, item 10; col 4, lns 59-67; col 8, lns 34-
> 49); and a remote database associated with said network, said
> database containing information associated with said ID for
> reading and interpreting said sensor (col 4, lns 7-20)."

However, upon careful examination, the Crowley device does not and cannot transmit

information wirelessly with a remote database other than the RFID tag. The "memory" in Figure

10 on provides:

> "For example, the memory may include algorithms, R-C time
> profiles or resistance values that correspond to known temperature
> data associated with the temperature sensing means 54 the
> transponder sensor 40."

[Co. 10, lns. 2-6].

Crowley does not even mention the possibility of using a network for wirelessly

transmitting and receiving information by the reader. Applicant does not agree with the

examiner that Crowley describes a wireless networkable device beyond the communication part

to the RFID sensor. The Crowley application appears to describe essentially a wireless logger

with a download port and a wireless reader probe. There is **no** disclosure in the Crowley

application that there is any on-the-fly wireless communication of the sensor data to a remote

database. If there were, then that would be shown in Figs. 1, 5 or 8. Crowley says specifically in

Col 4, lns 7-14, "Furthermore, such temperatures may be stored in a memory contained within

the portable probe such that they may be **later** recorded and/or downloaded to a computer

database." This is repeated in Col 10, Lns 32-40, and again in Claim 30. The patent also discloses that the reader 10 has "an input/output port (not shown) such that after a plurality of measurements are made (e.g. for a plurality of patients) such measurements may be downloaded into a database" (Col 10 lns 37-40).

This is also true with the Nelson and Lu references. In Figure 4 of Lu, there is shown a serial I/O port at reference numeral 98. Similarly, there is no disclosure in Nelson of any wireless transmitting connection with a remote database.

Having misread the Crowley reference, the Examiner similarly cannot make a case of obviousness based on these leading three references.

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested. A Petition for Extension of Time (1 month) accompanies this paper.

Respectfully Submitted,

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

By: _____
Robert L. Kelly, Reg. No. 31,843
Attorney for Applicant
8525 Woodward Ave., Suite 2000
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-2970
(248) 433-7578

Dated: July 22, 2009

BLOOMFIELD 29343-1 1001694v1